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Outline

• Review OAPP’s framework for monitoring HIV services

• Review performance based contract monitoring (PBCM) model, key components and uses of data

• Learn about the performance of medical outpatient clinics on selected clinical performance measures – lessons learned and next steps
Monitoring Function

Board of Supervisors

Department of Public Health

OAPP

Auditor Controller
Contract Monitoring Division

Finance

Care Svcs, Prevention Svcs

Medical Director, Research, QM

Planning, Contract Admin

Fiscal compliance

Contract management & monitoring (QA/QI)

Client level data mngmt & reporting, admin reviews

community based organizations & County agencies
Performance Based Contract Monitoring (PBCM) Key Components

- Rapid capture of review data
- Agency on-site preliminary reporting
- Final review published in 15 days or less
  - Previously 45-120+ days
- Performance data and corrective actions tracking in a central database
- Identification of performance levels across agencies
  - Peak \( > \text{ or } = 97\% \)
  - Competent 88\% to 96\%
  - Conditional < or = 87\%
- Responsive scope & scheduling of future reviews
  - Full (long) or focused (short) reviews
Scoring Performance

Site specific Performance scores

2009/10 Review

Providers:
1. 82%
2. 98%
3. 94%
4. 79%
5. 91%
6. 95%

Performance categories using aggregate data

- ≤ 87% CONDITIONAL
- 88% - 96% COMPETENT
- ≥ 97% PEAK

Providers:
4. 79%
1. 82%
5. 91%
3. 94%
6. 95%
2. 98%
Benefits of PBCM

• Streamline contract monitoring process across divisions
• Acknowledge areas of excellence & identify areas for improvement
• Accurately measure agency’s performance
• Increase efficiency of monitoring
• Focus on delivery of high quality services
• Maintain high performing contracts
Uses of Data

• Provide feedback to stakeholders
• Focus agencies on their improvement efforts
• Focus technical assistance on areas needing improvement
• Share best practices
• Identify emerging trends in the system of care
Ambulatory Medical Outpatient Clinical Performance Measures

- Labs – CD4, viral load
- Medications – antiretroviral therapy (ART), prophylaxis
- Screenings – opportunistic infections & other conditions, adherence
- Vaccination – hepatitis, pneumonia, influenza
- Counseling – HIV risk, hepatitis/alcohol, tobacco cessation
- Referrals – ophthalmology consults, dental care
About the Data

- Obtained from program monitoring data for funded HIV/AIDS medical outpatient clinics
- Reporting period – monitoring conducted during Ryan White Year 19; 12 months prior to site review
- Sample – 35 clinics, 948 medical records
- HAB and HIVQUAL benchmark data included where available in each graph
About the Data

• Number of clinic sites & records may vary for some measures
  - gender specific measures
  - addition of the measure mid cycle in the monitoring period

• Mean and median scores calculated for each measure

• Clinic names blinded
Overall Performance in Year 19

Ambulatory Outpatient Medical (AOM) clinics  (Tn=35)
Records reviewed (Tn=948)
Comparison by Performance (Yr 18 and 19)

AOM clinics, Yr 19 (Tn=35)
Records reviewed, Yr 19 (Tn=948)

AOM clinics< Yr 18 (Tn=34)
Records reviewed, Yr 18 (Tn=1114)
Performance of AOM Clinics on Selected Clinical Measures
Percentage of patients who had two or more CD4 T-cell counts performed at least 3 months apart

AOM Clinics (Tn=35)
Charts Reviewed (Tn=948)
Percentage of patients who had two or more viral load tests performed at least 3 months apart

AOM Clinics (Tn=33)
Charts Reviewed (Tn=880)
Percentage of patients with CD4 T-cell counts <350 cells/mm³ or an AIDS-defining condition who were prescribed ART

AOM Clinics (Tn=35)
Charts Reviewed (Tn=948)
Percentage of pregnant women prescribed antiretroviral therapy (ART) during the second and third trimester

AOM Clinics (Tn=9)
Charts Reviewed (Tn=306)
Percentage of patients with HIV-infection on ART who had a lipid panel

AOM Clinics (Tn=33)
Charts Reviewed (Tn=880)
Percentage of patients with CD4 T-cell count < 200 cells/mm³ who were prescribed PCP prophylaxis

AOM Clinics (Tn=35)
Charts Reviewed (Tn=948)
Percentage of patients with CD4 count < 50 cells/mm³ who received MAC prophylaxis within measurement year

AOM Clinics (Tn=24)
Charts Reviewed (Tn=681)
Percentage of patients who had at least one test for syphilis performed within the measurement year

AOM Clinics (Tn=35)
Charts Reviewed (Tn=948)
Percentage of adult patients who had a test for gonorrhea within the measurement year

AOM Clinics (Tn=35)
Charts Reviewed (Tn=948)
Percentage of patients who had a test for Chlamydia within the measurement year

AOM Clinics (Tn=35)
Charts Reviewed (Tn=948)
Percentage of patients who have ever been tested for Hepatitis B status and have documented Hep B status in the medical record

AOM Clinics (Tn=35)
Charts Reviewed (Tn=948)
Percentage of patients for whom Hepatitis C screening was performed and status documented in chart at least once since the diagnosis of HIV-infection.
Percentage of patients who received testing with results documented for latent tuberculosis infection (LTBI) in the measurement year with any approved test (tuberculin skin test [TST] or interferon gamma release assay [IGRA])
Percentage of patients who ever received screening for *Toxoplasma gondii* as documented in chart

AOM Clinics (Tn=33)
Charts Reviewed (Tn=880)
Percentage of female patients who had PAP screen results documented

AOM Clinics (Tn=35)
Charts Reviewed (Tn=948)

HIVQUAL 2006, Top 25%
HAB Median, 2006
TFC
Mean
Percentage of patients who have received complete dosing regimen (two doses) against Hepatitis A

AOM Clinics (Tn=33)
Charts Reviewed (Tn=880)
Percentage of patients who completed the vaccination series for Hepatitis B and documentation in chart.

AOM Clinics (Tn=35)
Charts Reviewed (Tn=948)
Percentage of patients who have ever received a pneumococcal vaccination

AOM Clinics (Tn=33)
Charts Reviewed (Tn=880)
Number of patients who received influenza vaccination within the measurement period

AOM Clinics (Tn=33)
Charts Reviewed (Tn=880)
Percentage of patients who have had a mental health assessment

AOM Clinics (Tn=33)
Charts Reviewed (Tn=880)
Percentage of patients on ART who were assessed for adherence (and counseled if suboptimal adherence) two or more times in the measurement year.
Percentage of patients with HIV infection who received HIV risk counseling within the measurement year.

AOM Clinics (Tn=33)
Charts Reviewed (Tn=880)
Percentage of patients who have been assessed for substance use (alcohol and illicit substances) in the measurement year

AOM Clinics (Tn=33)
Charts Reviewed (Tn=880)
Percentage of patients with HIV and Hepatitis B (HBV) or Hepatitis C (HCV) infection who received alcohol counseling within the measurement year

AOM Clinics (Tn=33)
Charts Reviewed (Tn=880)
Percentage of patients who received tobacco cessation counseling within the measurement year

AOM Clinics (Tn=33)
Charts Reviewed (Tn=880)
Percentage of patients with CD4 count < 50 cells/mm³ with documented ophthalmology referral within the measurement year

AOM Clinics (Tn=21)
Charts Reviewed (Tn=551)
Percentage of patients who received a referral to a dentist at least once during the measurement year

AOM Clinics (Tn=33)
Charts Reviewed (Tn=880)
What did we do well?

What can we improve?
Comparison by Performance Score

Conditional  Competent  Peak

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Clinical/Performance Measures</th>
<th>100</th>
<th>98</th>
<th>99</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Oral exam</td>
<td>52</td>
<td>75</td>
<td>92</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Influenza vaccination</td>
<td>56</td>
<td>92</td>
<td>99</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hepatitis A vaccination</td>
<td>73</td>
<td>92</td>
<td>99</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pneumococcal vaccination</td>
<td>77</td>
<td>94</td>
<td>99</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TB screening</td>
<td>82</td>
<td>94</td>
<td>99</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Toxoplasmosis screen</td>
<td>84</td>
<td>96</td>
<td>99</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Malaria prophylaxis</td>
<td>86</td>
<td>98</td>
<td>99</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cervical cancer screening</td>
<td>88</td>
<td>98</td>
<td>100</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ARV for pregnant women</td>
<td>88</td>
<td>98</td>
<td>100</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Lipid screening</td>
<td>91</td>
<td>98</td>
<td>100</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Substance use assessment</td>
<td>92</td>
<td>98</td>
<td>100</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Viral load count</td>
<td>92</td>
<td>98</td>
<td>100</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hepatitis C screening</td>
<td>94</td>
<td>98</td>
<td>100</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mental health assessment</td>
<td>94</td>
<td>98</td>
<td>100</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Chlamydia screening</td>
<td>95</td>
<td>98</td>
<td>100</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Gonorrhea screening</td>
<td>96</td>
<td>98</td>
<td>100</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PEP prophylaxis</td>
<td>96</td>
<td>98</td>
<td>100</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>HIV risk counseling</td>
<td>98</td>
<td>98</td>
<td>100</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CD4 T-cell count</td>
<td>99</td>
<td>98</td>
<td>100</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Syphilis screening</td>
<td>99</td>
<td>99</td>
<td>100</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Adherence assessment &amp; counseling</td>
<td>99</td>
<td>100</td>
<td>100</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>HAART</td>
<td>100</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
We did extremely well in …

- HAART
- STD screening – chlamydia, gonorrhea
- Counseling – adherence, HIV risk
- CD4 monitoring
- PCP prophylaxis
We also did well in …

- Hepatitis screening – Hep B & C
- Assessments – mental health, substance use
- Screening – cervical cancer
- Labs – lipids
- Counseling – alcohol use
- ARV for pregnant women
- Prophylaxis - MAC
We can improve on....

- Screening – toxoplasmosis, ophthalmology, tuberculosis
- Vaccination – Hep A & B, flu, pneumonia
- Counseling – tobacco cessation
- Referrals for dental care
Low Performing Clinics

• Transient population
• Unaware of performance/no baseline
• Frequency of process – not always done, easily missed
• Nature of process – ex. TSTs for TB screening
• Performance and system issues within the clinic – leadership, staffing, resources
• Geographic disparities in access to certain services
• Transportation
Next Steps

• Implement rapid capture system using tablet PCs in the field

• Develop central database for performance data and corrective action management
Questions?
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For More Information

Mary Orticke, RN, MPH  
Chief, Quality Management  
Office of AIDS Programs and Policy  
600 South Commonwealth Ave., 10th Floor  
Los Angeles, California 90005-4001  
Phone: 213-351-8083  
Fax: 213-738-6566  
E-mail: morticke@ph.lacounty.gov

This presentation will be available at  
www.ph.lacounty.gov/aids